## **Cross-Lingual Sentiment Classification Based on Denoising Autoencoder**

### Huiwei Zhou, Long Chen, and Degen Huang

Dalian University of Technology Natural Language Processing laboratory







- Introduction
- Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)
- The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder
- Experiments and Analysis
- Conclusion and Future Work

### Introduction

### • Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)

- The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder
- Experiments and Analysis
- Conclusion and Future Work

## **Sentiment Classification**

- Sentiment classification technique is the task of predicting sentiment polarity for a given text
- Generally, sentiment classification approaches can be divided into two categories:
  - Lexicon based approach
  - Machine learning based approach

### **Cross-Lingual Sentiment Classification**

### Labeled data are very imbalanced

• The lack of sentiment resources limits the research progress in some languages.

### Cross-Lingual Sentiment Classification (CLSC).

leverage resources on one language (source language) to resource-poor language (target language) for improving the classification performance on target language

## Problems

• The problems to be settled in CLSC task:

- Machine translation services adopted in CLSC task, bring translation errors in training process
- The language gap between source language and target language also influences the performance of system

### **Decrease the effects of noisy examples**

### To reduce the effect of translation errors

Denoising autoencoder is adopted

### To eliminate the language gap

• **Two classifiers** are trained in **English view** and **Chinese view** respectively, and the final results are combined from two classification outputs

### Introduction

### Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)

- The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder
- Experiments and Analysis
- Conclusion and Future Work

## **Autoesingdentoencoder**



 $L_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = H(B_{\mathbf{x}} \parallel B_{\mathbf{z}}) = -\sum_{k=1}^d [\mathbf{x}_k \log \mathbf{z}_k + (1 - \mathbf{x}_k) \log(1 - \mathbf{z}_k)]$ 

### Introduction

### • Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)

### The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder

### • Experiments and Analysis

Conclusion and Future Work

## The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder



# The Advantages of This Approach Denoising autoencoder is adopted to reduce the impacts of training errors

 Training classifiers in multi-views helps to bridge the gap between English and Chinese

## **Feature Setting**

- Sentiment Word Features Selection
  - High-Frequency Words Method
  - CHI Statistical Method

 $\chi^{2}(t_{i},C_{j}) = \frac{N \times (A \times D - B \times C)^{2}}{(A+C) \times (B+D) \times (A+B) \times (C+D)}$ 

• A: 
$$(t_i, C_i)$$
 B:  $(t_i, \overline{C}_i)$   
• C:  $(\overline{t}_i, C_i)$  D:  $(\overline{t}_i, \overline{C}_i)$ 

## **Feature Setting**

Negation Features

 $vector = (neg_1, sent_1, ..., neg_i, sent_i, ..., neg_{2000}, sent_{2000})$ 

- Feature Weight Calculation
  - Boolean Method
  - Word Frequency Method
  - TF-IDF method

$$w_{ij} = tf_{ij} \times \log \frac{N}{n_i}$$

### Introduction

- Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)
- The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder

## Experiments and Analysis

Conclusion and Future Work

## **Experimental Settings**

- Dataset
  - NLP&CC 2013 cross-lingual sentiment classification dataset, including book, DVD and music categories
- Evaluation method
  - category accuracy  $\checkmark$  Accuracy<sub>c</sub> =  $\frac{\# system\_correct}{4000}$
  - average accuracy
- Model parameters
  - architecture: 4000-500-2
  - epoch: 30
  - learning rate: 0.1

Accuracy<sub>c</sub> = 
$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} Accuracy_c$$

## Effect of Sentiment Word Features Selection

### Table 1. Effect of Sentiment Word Features Selection

System	Methods	Book	DVD	Music	Accuracy
English	High-frequency	74.53%	75.43%	73.8%	74.58%
	CHI statistic	73.03%	76.93%	75.15%	75.04 % (+0.46%)
Chinese	High-frequency	78.40%	74.45%	73.15%	75.33%
	CHI statistic	78.15%	75.05%	74.30%	75.83 % (+0.50%)

### **Effect of Negation Features**



Fig. 3. Performance comparison with or without negation features

## Effect of Feature Weight Calculation Methods



Fig. 4. Performance comparison with different weight calculation methods

### **Performance of Combination CLSC Systems**

#### **Table 2.** Performance of combination CLSC systems

System	Book	DVD	Music	Accuracy
English system	73.03%	76.93%	75.15%	75.04%
Chinese system	78.15%	75.05%	74.30%	75.83%
Combination system	79.68%	78.33%	78.08%	78.70%

## Effect of Destruction Fraction in Denoising Autoencoders



Fig. 5. Accuracy vs. Destruction fraction

Accuracy

### **Comparison with Related Work**

**Table 3.** CLSC performance comparison on the NLP&CC 2013Share Task test data

Team	Book	DVD	Music	Accuracy
Chen et al. 2014	77.00%	78.33%	75.95%	77.09%
HLT-Hitsz	78.50%	77.73%	75.13%	77.12%
Gui et al. 2013	78.70%	79.65%	78.30%	78.89%
Our Approach	80.63%	80.95%	78.48%	80.02%

### Introduction

- Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)
- The Combination CLSC Approach Based on Denoising Autoencoder
- Experiments and Analysis
- Conclusion and Future Work

## Conclusion

- Denoising autoencoder and combination approach could improve the sentiment classification performance.
  - decrease the impacts of translation errors
  - eliminate the language gap
- The feature setting of CHI feature selection method together with TF-IDF weight calculation method works well on CLSC task.

## **Future Work**

- Deep combination of classifiers rather than linear combination only
  - Such as co-training or transfer learning strategy
- Select high-quality translated reviews for training Chinese classifier to further reduce the impacts of translation errors.

## Thank you! IUSUK AOM